

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,436	06/23/2003	Jong-Jan Lee	SLA 0733	9661	
7590 02/27/2004		EXAMINER			
David C. Ripma			MAGEE, THOMAS J		
Patent Counsel Sharp Laboratories of America, Inc.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5750 NW Pacific Rim Boulevard			2811		
Camas, WA	98607		DATE MAILED: 02/27/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/602,436	LEE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas J. Magee	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .					
2a) This action is FINA	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims ·							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19	•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (F		4) ☐ Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
· · —	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1 11, drawn to a semiconductor device (structure), classified in class 257, subclass 347.
- II. Claims 12 30, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 151.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process, as claimed, can be used to make other and materially different product(s) or (2) that the product, as claimed, can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP 806.05(f)). In the instant case, unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, for example, the device of Claim 1 could be made using a dummy substrate for deposition of the multilayer, followed by the formation of an insulating layer, whereupon, the dummy substrate layer could be removed by etching or cmp, leaving the multilayer on insulator for patterning. This would be in contrast to the process of providing a multilayer on an insulator layer.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art, as shown by their different classification, restrict-Ion for examination purposes, as indicated, is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,436

Art Unit: 2811

Page 3

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement, to be complete, must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected in-

vention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if

one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least

one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be

accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Thomas Magee, whose telephone number is (571) 272

1658. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30AM

to 5:00PM (EST). If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, **Eddie Lee**, can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306.

Thomas Magee

February 3, 2004

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800